1 Introduction

This document provides a formal description of the Flang type system. The type system for Flang is essentially an enrichment of the System F type system.

2 Syntactic restrictions

There are a number of syntactic restrictions that should be enforced by the type checker. Some of these are properties that could have been specified as part of the grammar in Project 2, but would have made the grammar much more verbose. Others are properties that could be specified as part the typing rules below, but it is easier to specify them separately.

- The type variables in a type or data definition must be distinct.
- The type variables in a type abstraction must be distinct.
- The data-type names in a group of data-type definitions must be distinct.
- The data constructors in a group of data-type definitions must be distinct.
- The names of functions in a group of function definitions must be distinct.
- The value parameter names of a function definition must be distinct, and the name of the function must not be the same as any of the value parameters.
- The type parameter names of a function definition must be distinct.
- The variables in a pattern must be distinct.
- The patterns in a case expression must be exhaustive and irredundant.
- Integer literals must be in the range $[-2^{62}, 2^{62} - 1]$.

The type checker checks these properties and reports an error when they are violated.
\[ \begin{align*}
\alpha, \beta & \in \text{TYVAR} \quad \text{type variables} \\
\theta^{(k)} & \in \text{TYCON} \quad k\text{-ary type constructors} \\
\tau & ::= \forall \alpha(\tau) \quad \text{type abstraction (|\alpha| > 0)} \\
& \quad | \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \text{function type} \\
& \quad | \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \quad \text{tuple types (} n > 1 \text{)} \\
& \quad | \theta^{(k)}[\tau] \quad \text{type constructor instantiation (|\tau| \geq 0)} \\
& \quad | \alpha \quad \text{type variable}
\end{align*} \]

Figure 1: \textbf{Flang} semantic types

3 \textbf{Flang} types

In the \textbf{Flang} typing rules, we distinguish between \textit{syntactic types} as they appear in the program text (or parse-tree representation) and the \textit{semantic types} that are inferred for various syntactic forms. To understand why we make this distinction, consider the following \textbf{Flang} program:

```
1 data T with
2    con A of Integer
3    con B;
4 let x : T = A 1;
5 data T with
6    con C of Integer
7    con D;
8 let y : T = B;
9 0
```

This program has a type error at line 8 in the declaration \texttt{let y : T = B}, because the type of the data constructor expression \texttt{B} is the type constructor corresponding to the \texttt{data} declaration at line 1, but the type constraint \texttt{T} is the type constructor corresponding to the \texttt{data} declaration at line 5. The second \texttt{data} declaration at line 5 \textit{shadows} the earlier declaration at line 1. In the parse-tree representation, however, all instances of \texttt{T} correspond to the same type constructor name (that is, as values of the \texttt{Atom.atom} type).

The abstract syntax of \textbf{Flang} semantic types is given in Figure 1 (and represented by the \texttt{Type.ty} datatype in the project seed code). The set of semantic types (\texttt{TYPE}) is built from countable sets of semantic type variables (\texttt{TYVAR}) and semantic type constructors (\texttt{TYCON}). We use \( \tau \) to denote types, \( \alpha \) and \( \beta \) to denote semantic type variables, and \( \theta^{(k)} \) to denote \( k \)-ary type constructors. In the representation, we treat type constants as nullary type constructors, but we will often omit the empty type-argument list in this document (e.g., we write \texttt{Bool(0)} instead of \texttt{Bool(0)[\ ]}).

Each binding occurrence of a type variable (respectively, type constructor) will map to a unique semantic type variable (respectively, semantic type constructor) in the AST representation of the program. For example, type checking the \texttt{data} declaration at line 1 will introduce one type constructor, say \( \theta^{(1)}_1 \), and type checking the \texttt{data} declaration at line 5 will introduce a different type constructor, say \( \theta^{(1)}_2 \). The syntax of semantic types mirrors the concrete syntax, with forms for type abstraction, function types, tuple types, instantiation of type constructors, and type variables.

We use the syntax \( \overline{\alpha} \) to denote a sequence of bound type variables in the term \( \forall \overline{\alpha}(\tau) \) and \( \overline{\tau} \) to denote a (possibly empty) sequence of types in the term \( \theta^{(k)}[\overline{\tau}] \). In the case that \( \overline{\tau} \) is the empty sequence, then \( \forall \overline{\alpha}(\tau) = \tau \). We write \( |\overline{\tau}| \) to denote the number of elements in the sequence. The capture-free
substitution of types $\overline{\tau}$ for variables $\overline{\alpha}$ in a type $\tau'$ is written as $\tau'[\overline{\alpha}/\overline{\tau}]$.

We consider semantic types equal up to renaming of bound type variables. That is, we will consider the semantic types $\forall \alpha (\alpha \to \alpha \to \text{Bool}(0))$ and $\forall \beta (\beta \to \beta \to \text{Bool}(0))$ to be equal, whereas the parse trees corresponding to $[a] a \to a \to \text{bool}$ and $[b] b \to b \to \text{bool}$ are not equal, because they use different type variable names.

4 Flang abstract syntax

The typing rules for Flang are defined over an abstraction of the concrete syntax. We use the following naming conventions for variables in the abstract syntax:

\[
\begin{align*}
T & \in \text{TyId} \quad \text{Type constructor identifiers} \\
t & \in \text{TyVar} \quad \text{Type variable identifiers} \\
C & \in \text{ConId} \quad \text{Data constructor identifiers} \\
f, x & \in \text{ValId} \quad \text{Value identifiers}
\end{align*}
\]

The grammar, which is given in Figure 2, roughly corresponds to the datatypes defined in the ParseTree module from Project 2. As with the syntax of semantic types, we use the overbear notation to denote sequences of syntactic objects (e.g., $\overline{T}$ to represent sequences of type variables and $\overline{ty}$ to represent sequences of types).

5 Environments

The typing rules for Flang use a number of different environments, which are finite maps from identifiers to information about the identifiers. We write \(\{x \mapsto w\}\) for the finite map that maps $x$ to $w$ and we write \(\{\overline{x} \mapsto \overline{w}\}\) for the map that maps elements of the sequence $\overline{x}$ to the corresponding element of the sequence $\overline{w}$ (assuming that $|\overline{x}| = |\overline{w}|$). If $E$ and $E'$ are environments, then we define the extension of $E$ to be

\[
(E \pm E')(x) = \begin{cases} 
E'(x) & \text{if } x \in \text{dom}(E') \\
E(x) & \text{otherwise}
\end{cases}
\]

and we write $E \uplus E'$ for the disjoint union of $E$ and $E'$ when $\text{dom}(E) \cap \text{dom}(E') = \emptyset$ (if the domains of $E$ and $E'$ are not disjoint, then $E \uplus E'$ is undefined).

There is a separate environment for each kind of identifier in the parse-tree representation:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{TYVARENV} & = \text{TyVar} \to \text{TYVAR} \quad \text{type-variable environment} \\
\text{TYCONENV} & = \text{TyId} \to \text{TYCON} \cup (\text{TYVAR} \times \text{TYPE}) \quad \text{type-constructor environment} \\
\text{DCONENV} & = \text{ConId} \to \text{TYPE} \quad \text{data-constructor environment} \\
\text{VARENV} & = \text{ValId} \to \text{TYPE} \quad \text{variable environment}
\end{align*}
\]

A type name $T$ can either be bound to a type expression (in a type definition), to a data-type constructor (in a data definition), or to a primitive type constructor. We use the notation $\Lambda \overline{\tau} : \tau$

\footnote{This renaming is called $\alpha$-conversion.}
prog ::= def prog def toplevel definition
    | exp exp program body
def ::= type T[\overline{t}] = typ type alias definition
data data1 and \cdots and data_n data type definitions
    | bind value binding definition
data ::= T[\overline{t}] with \overline{con} data type definition
typ ::= [T]typ type function
typ_1 ⇒ typ_2 function type
typ_1 * \cdots * typ_n tuple type
T[typ] type constructor
t t type variable
con ::= C of typ data constructor
    | C nullary data constructor/bind ::= fun fndef_1 and \cdots and fndef_n function bindings
    | let spat : typ = exp value binding
    | let spat = exp value binding
fndef ::= f fnsig = exp function definition
fnsig ::= [\overline{t}] fnsig function type parameters
    | (x : typ) fnsig function value parameter
    | → typ function return type/exp ::= if exp_1 then exp_2 else exp_3 conditional expression
    | exp_1 :: exp_2 list-cons expression
    | exp_1 exp_2 application expression
    | exp[typ] type-application expression
    | (exp_1, \ldots, exp_n) tuple expression
    | { scope } nested scope
    | case exp of rule case expression
    | try exp catch rule try-catch expression
    | throw [typ] exp throw expression
    | x variable
    | C data constructor
    | lit literal/rule ::= { pat ⇒ scope } match-case rule/pat ::= C spat data-constructor pattern
    | spat_1 :: spat_2 list-cons expression
    | (spat_1, \ldots, spat_n) tuple pattern
    | C nullary-data-constructor pattern
    | spat simple pattern/spat ::= x variable pattern
    | - wild-card pattern/scope ::= bind scope value binding
    | exp expression

Figure 2: Abstract syntax of Flang
to represent a parameterized type definition in the type-constructor environment, and $\theta^{(k)}$ to denote
data-type and primitive type constructors.

Since most of the typing rules involve two or more environments, we define a combined environment.

$$E \in \text{ENV} = \text{TYVARENV} \times \text{TYCONENV} \times \text{DCONENV} \times \text{VARENV}$$

We extend the notation on finite maps to the combined environment in the natural way:

$$\langle TVE, TCE, DCE, VE \rangle \pm \langle TVE', TCE', DCE', VE' \rangle = \langle TVE \pm TVE', TCE \pm TCE', DCE \pm DCE', VE \pm VE' \rangle$$

$$\langle TVE, TCE, DCE, VE \rangle \uplus \langle TVE', TCE', DCE', VE' \rangle = \langle TVE \uplus TVE', TCE \uplus TCE', DCE \uplus DCE', VE \uplus VE' \rangle$$

We also use the kind of identifier in the domain as a shorthand for extending an environment with a
new binding. For example, by convention $x \in \text{ValId}$, so we will write $E \pm \{ x : \tau \}$ for

$$\langle TVE, TCE, DCE, VE \rangle \pm \{ x \mapsto \tau \}$$

where $E = \langle TVE, TCE, DCE, VE \rangle$.

## 6 Typing rules

The typing rules for Flang provide a specification for the static correctness of Flang programs. The
general form of a judgement, as used in the Flang typing rules, is

$$\text{Context} \vdash \text{Term} \triangleright \text{Descr}$$

which can be read as “in Context, Term has Descr.” The context is usually an environment, but
may include other information, while the description is usually a semantic type and/or an (extended)
environment. The different judgement forms used in the typing rules for Flang are summarized in
Figure 3. Formally, the judgments are smallest relation that satisfies the typing rules.

The typing rules for Flang are syntax directed, which means that there is a typing rule for each
(major) syntactic form in the parse-tree representation of Flang programs. For each of the syntactic
forms in the abstract syntax, there is a typing rule written in a natural deduction style.

\[
\frac{\text{premise}_1 \quad \cdots \quad \text{premise}_n}{\text{conclusion}}
\]

where the conclusion will be the typing judgment for the syntactic form in question.

### 6.1 Programs

For a program, we check that it is well-formed (i.e. that the types, expressions, and definitions are
type check).

For a top-level definition, we check the definition and then check the rest of the program using the
enriched environment.

\[
E \vdash \text{def} \triangleright E' \quad E' \vdash \text{prog} \triangleright \text{Ok} \Rightarrow E \vdash \text{def prog} \triangleright \text{Ok}
\]
The body of the program is well-formed if it type checks.

\[ \Gamma \vdash E \vdash \text{Ok} \]

6.2 Definitions

Type definitions are checked by binding the syntactic type parameters (\(\bar{t}\)) to fresh semantic type variables (\(\bar{\alpha}\)) and then checking the right-hand-side type expression.

\[ \bar{\alpha} \text{ are fresh} \quad |\bar{t}| = |\bar{\alpha}| = k \quad E \equiv \{\bar{t} \mapsto \bar{\alpha}\} \vdash \text{typ} \quad \tau \quad E' = E \equiv \{T \mapsto \Lambda \bar{\alpha} : \tau\} \]

\[ \Gamma \vdash \text{type } T[\bar{t}] = \text{typ} \quad E' \]

Checking a group of mutually recursive data-type definitions requires first constructing a type-constructor environment that maps the type names to their semantic type constructors and then checking the data constructors. Note that the use of \(\uplus\) in this rule forces the type names and data-constructor names to be unique.

\[ \vdash \text{data}_i \quad TCE_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \quad E' = E \uplus (TCE_1 \uplus \cdots \uplus TCE_n) \quad E' \vdash \text{data}_i \quad DCE_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \]

\[ \Gamma \vdash \text{data data}_1 \text{ and } \cdots \text{ and data}_n \quad E' \pm (DCE_1 \uplus \cdots \uplus DCE_n) \]

The value binding definition is checked just like a value binding (see Section 6.7).

\[ \Gamma \vdash \text{bind} \quad E' \quad \Gamma \vdash \text{bind} \quad E' \]
6.3 Data definitions (1)

The first pass over a data-type definition yields an environment mapping the type name to its corresponding semantic type constructor.

\[ |\overline{t}| = k \quad \theta^{(k)} \text{ is fresh} \]

\[ \vdash T[\overline{t}] \text{ with } \text{con}_1 \cdots \text{con}_n \mapsto \{ T \mapsto \theta^{(k)} \} \]

6.4 Data definitions (2)

The second pass over a data-type definition yields an environment mapping the data-constructor names to their semantic representation.

\[ \alpha \text{ are fresh} \quad |\overline{\alpha}| = k \quad E(T) = \theta^{(k)} \]

\[ E \pm \{ \overline{t} \mapsto \overline{\alpha} \}, \overline{\alpha}, \theta^{(k)} \vdash \text{con}_1 \mapsto \text{DCE}_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \]

\[ E \vdash T[\overline{t}] \text{ with } \text{con}_1 \cdots \text{con}_n \mapsto \text{DCE}_1 \sqcup \cdots \sqcup \text{DCE}_n \]

6.5 Types

The typing rules for types check for well-formedness and translate the syntactic types to semantic types.

Type checking a type-function type requires introducing fresh semantic type variables (\(\overline{\alpha}\)) for the syntactic type variables (\(\overline{t}\)).

\[ \overline{\alpha} \text{ are fresh} \quad E \pm \{ \overline{t} \mapsto \overline{\alpha} \} \vdash \text{typ} \mapsto \tau \]

\[ E \vdash [\overline{t}]\text{typ} \mapsto \forall \overline{\alpha}(\tau) \]

Type checking a function type requires checking the argument type and the result type.

\[ E \vdash \text{typ}_1 \mapsto \tau_1 \quad E \vdash \text{typ}_2 \mapsto \tau_2 \]

\[ E \vdash \text{typ}_1 \rightarrow \text{typ}_2 \mapsto \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \]

Type checking a tuple type requires checking the component types to form a (semantic) tuple type.

\[ E \vdash \text{typ}_1 \mapsto \tau_1 \quad \cdots \quad E \vdash \text{typ}_n \mapsto \tau_n \]

\[ E \vdash \text{typ}_1 \times \cdots \times \text{typ}_n \mapsto \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \]

There are two rules for type checking a type-constructor application, depending on whether the type constructor identifier corresponds to a type definition or a data definition (or a builtin abstract type). For type definitions, we check the type arguments and then construct a new (semantic) type by substituting the \(\overline{\tau}\) for the \(\overline{\alpha}\).

\[ E(T) = \Lambda \overline{\alpha} : \tau_T \quad |\overline{\alpha}| = |\text{typ}| \quad E \vdash \text{typ} \mapsto \overline{\tau} \]

\[ E \vdash T[\text{typ}] \mapsto \tau_T[\overline{\alpha}/\overline{\tau}] \]
For data definitions (or abstract types), we check the actual (syntactic) type arguments and then substitute the actual (semantic) type arguments for the formal type parameters to produce a new (semantic) type.

\[
E(T) = \theta(\tilde{\tau}) \quad \text{\(\left[\text{typ}\right] = k\)} \quad E \vdash \text{\(\left[\text{typ}\right]\)} \mapsto \tau
\]

Type checking a type variable identifier returns its semantic type variable, as recorded in the environment.

\[
t \in \text{dom}(E) \\
E \vdash t \mapsto E(t)
\]

### 6.6 Data constructors

Checking a data constructor involves checking that its argument type is well-formed.

\[
E \vdash \text{\(\left[\text{typ}\right]\)} \mapsto \tau \\
E, \tilde{\alpha}, \theta(\tilde{k}) \vdash C \text{ of } \text{\(\left[\text{typ}\right]\)} \mapsto \{C \mapsto \forall \tilde{\alpha}(\tau \rightarrow \theta(\tilde{k})[\tilde{\alpha}])\}
\]

Checking nullify data constructors requires no additional checks.

\[
E, \tilde{\alpha}, \theta(\tilde{k}) \vdash C \mapsto \{C \mapsto \forall \tilde{\alpha}(\theta(\tilde{k})[\tilde{\alpha}])\}
\]

### 6.7 Value bindings

Type checking a group of mutually recursive function definitions involves first checking their signatures to produce a value environment that assigns a type to each of the functions. Then, using that environment, we check that the function bodies are well typed.

\[
E \vdash \text{\(\left[\text{fun}\right]\)} \mapsto V_{E_i} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \\
E' = E ± (V_{E_1} \uplus \cdots \uplus V_{E_n}) \\
E' \vdash \text{\(\left[\text{fun}\right]\)} \mapsto \text{Ok} \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n
\]

where ReturnType(\(\tau\)) is the return type of the function.

Type checking a variable binding with a type constraint requires checking that the declared type is well formed and that the right-hand-side expression has that type.

\[
E \vdash \text{\(\left[\text{typ}\right]\)} \mapsto \tau \\
E \vdash \text{\(\left[\text{exp}\right]\)} \mapsto \tau \\
E \vdash \text{\(\left[\text{spat}\right]\)} \mapsto E' \\
E \vdash \text{let } \text{\(\left[\text{spat}\right]\)} : \text{\(\left[\text{typ}\right]\)} = \text{\(\left[\text{exp}\right]\)} \mapsto E \pm E'
\]

Type checking an unconstrained variable binding requires checking the right-hand-side expression and then using the resulting type as the context for checking the simple pattern.

\[
E \vdash \text{\(\left[\text{exp}\right]\)} \mapsto \tau \\
\tau \vdash \text{\(\left[\text{spat}\right]\)} \mapsto E' \\
E \vdash \text{let } \text{\(\left[\text{spat}\right]\)} = \text{\(\left[\text{exp}\right]\)} \mapsto E \pm E'
\]
A value binding that is just an expression \( \text{exp} \) is viewed as syntactic sugar for the binding

\[
\text{let } _\_ : \text{Unit} = \text{exp}
\]

which is reflected in its typing rule.

\[
\frac{E \vdash \text{exp} \triangleright \text{Unit}^{(0)}}{E \vdash \text{exp} \triangleright E}
\]

### 6.8 Function definitions (1)

The first pass over function definitions checks their signatures, which yields a value environment assigning a type to the functions.

\[
E \vdash \text{fnsig} \triangleright E', \tau, \tau_{\text{ret}} \quad E \vdash f \text{fnsig} = \text{exp} \triangleright \{ f \mapsto \tau \}
\]

### 6.9 Function definitions (2)

The second pass checks that the function bodies are well typed and that the declared return type of the function matches the type of the function body.

\[
E \vdash \text{fnsig} \triangleright E', \tau, \tau_{\text{ret}} \quad E' \vdash \text{exp} \triangleright \tau_{\text{ret}} \quad E \vdash f \text{fnsig} = \text{exp} \triangleright \text{Ok}
\]

### 6.10 Function signatures

Type checking a function signature produces an environment enriched by the function parameter bindings, the type of the function, and the function’s return type.

For type parameters, we bind the names to fresh type variables and define the function’s type to be a type function.

\[
\text{\text{\underline{\alpha} are fresh}} \quad E \pm \{ \overline{\text{\alpha}} \mapsto \text{\text{\underline{\alpha}}} \} \vdash \text{fnsig} \triangleright E', \tau, \tau_{\text{ret}}
\]

\[
E \vdash [\overline{\text{\alpha}}] \text{fnsig} \triangleright E', \forall \overline{\text{\alpha}}(\tau), \tau_{\text{ret}}
\]

For a value parameter, we check the declared type, bind the name to the type, and define the function’s type to be a function.

\[
E \vdash \text{typ} \triangleright \tau \quad E \pm \{ x \mapsto \tau \} \vdash \text{fnsig} \triangleright E', \tau', \tau_{\text{ret}}
\]

\[
E \vdash (x : \text{typ}) \text{fnsig} \triangleright E', \tau \rightarrow \tau', \tau_{\text{ret}}
\]

For the return type of the signature, we check that the type is well formed and return it as both the function’s type and the return type.

\[
E \vdash \text{typ} \triangleright \tau
\]

\[
E \vdash \rightarrow \text{typ} \triangleright E, \tau, \tau
\]
6.11 Expressions

Type checking an \texttt{if} expression requires checking that the condition expression has the boolean type and that the \texttt{then} expression and the \texttt{else} expression have the same type.

\[
E \vdash \text{exp}_1 \triangleright \text{Bool}^{(0)} \quad E \vdash \text{exp}_2 \triangleright \tau \quad E \vdash \text{exp}_2 \triangleright \tau
\]

\[
E \vdash \text{if } \text{exp}_1 \text{ then } \text{exp}_2 \text{ else } \text{exp}_3 \triangleright \tau
\]

For list construction, we check that the right-hand-side expression has a list type and that the left-hand-side expression’s type matches the element type of the list.

\[
E \vdash \text{exp}_1 \triangleright \tau \quad E \vdash \text{exp}_2 \triangleright \text{List}^{(1)[\tau]}
\]

\[
E \vdash \text{exp}_1 :: \text{exp}_2 \triangleright \text{List}^{(1)[\tau]}
\]

Application of a function requires checking both expressions and checking that the function expression has a function type whose domain is the same as the type of the argument expression.

\[
E \vdash \text{exp}_1 \triangleright \tau' \rightarrow \tau \quad E \vdash \text{exp}_2 \triangleright \tau'
\]

\[
E \vdash \text{exp}_1 \text{exp}_2 \triangleright \tau
\]

For application of a type function, we check that the function expression has a type-function type and that the argument types are well-formed. The type of the application expression is the substitution of the (semantic) type argument for the abstracted type variable in the result type.

\[
E \vdash \text{exp} \triangleright \forall \alpha(\tau) \quad E \vdash \text{typ} \triangleright \tau' \quad [\alpha] = [\text{typ}]
\]

\[
E \vdash \text{exp}[\text{typ}] \triangleright \tau[\alpha/\tau']
\]

Checking a tuple expression involves checking each of the subexpressions.

\[
E \vdash \text{exp}_i \triangleright \tau_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n
\]

\[
E \vdash (\text{exp}_1, \ldots, \text{exp}_n) \triangleright \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n
\]

The rules for type checking the body of a nested scope are given below in Section 6.15. The resulting type is the type of the expression.

\[
E \vdash \text{scope} \triangleright \tau
\]

\[
E \vdash \{ \text{scope} \} \triangleright \tau
\]

The rule for match cases first checks the type of the argument expression and then uses that type to check each of the rules.

\[
E \vdash \text{exp} \triangleright \tau \\
E, \tau \vdash \text{rule}_i \triangleright \tau' \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n
\]

\[
E \vdash \text{case } \text{exp} \text{ of } \text{rule}_1 \cdots \text{rule}_n \triangleright \tau'
\]

Type type of a \texttt{try-catch} expression is the type of its body, which must match the type of the handler. The pattern in the handler has type \texttt{String}^{(0)}.

\[
E \vdash \text{exp} \triangleright \tau \\
E, \text{String}^{(0)} \vdash \text{rule} \triangleright \tau
\]

\[
E \vdash \text{try } \text{exp} \text{ catch } \text{rule} \triangleright \tau
\]
The `throw` expression has the type that it is applied to; its value argument must be a string.

\[
\frac{E \vdash typ \triangleright \tau \quad E \vdash exp \triangleright \text{String}(^0)}{E \vdash \text{throw}[\;\text{typ}\;\;\text{exp}\;\] \triangleright \tau}
\]

Variables are mapped to their type in the environment.

\[
x \in \text{dom}(E) \\
E \vdash x \triangleright E(x)
\]

Like variables, data constructors are mapped to their type in the environment.

\[
C \in \text{dom}(E) \\
E \vdash C \triangleright E(C)
\]

To type check literal expressions, we assume a function `TypeOf` that maps literals to their type (i.e., either `Integer(^0)` or `String(^0)`).

\[
E \vdash \text{lit} \triangleright \text{TypeOf(lit)}
\]

### 6.12 Match-case rules

Match-case rules combine pattern matching with a scope. To check them, we first check the pattern against the match-case argument type and then use the resulting environment to check the scope.

\[
\frac{E, \tau \vdash \text{pat} \triangleright E' \quad E \pm E' \vdash \text{scope} \triangleright \tau'}{E, \tau \vdash \{ \text{pat} \Rightarrow \text{scope} \} \triangleright \tau'}
\]

### 6.13 Patterns

Type checking patterns is done in a context that includes both the environment and the expected type (or argument type) of the pattern. The result is an environment that binds the pattern’s variables to their types.

Checking the application of a data constructor to a simple pattern involves checking that the expected type is a type-constructor application and that the type of the data constructor is a (possibly polymorphic) function type with a range that matches the type constructor. We check the argument pattern with an expected type that is the domain of the function type instantiated to the expected argument types. The result environment is the environment defined by the argument pattern.

\[
\tau = \theta^{(k)}[\tau'] \\
E(C) = \forall \alpha(\tau'' \rightarrow \theta^{(k)}[\alpha]) \\
\tau''[\alpha/\tau'] \vdash \text{spat} \triangleright E' \\
E, \tau \vdash C \text{ spat} \triangleright E'
\]

The list-constructor pattern requires that the expected type be a list type. We check that the left-hand-side pattern is the element type and the right-hand-side pattern is the list type. The result
environment is the disjoint union of the environments defined by the argument patterns.

\[ \tau = \text{List}^{(1)}[\tau'] \quad \tau' \vdash \text{spat}_1 \triangleright E_1 \quad \tau \vdash \text{spat}_2 \triangleright E_2 \]
\[ E, \tau \vdash \text{spat}_1 :: \text{spat}_2 \triangleright E_1 \pm E_2 \]

A tuple pattern requires that the expected type be a tuple of the same arity. We check each subpattern in the context of the corresponding type and then union the resulting environments.

\[ \tau = \tau_1 \times \cdots \times \tau_n \]
\[ \tau_i \vdash \text{spat}_i \triangleright E_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n \]
\[ E' = E_1 \uplus \cdots \uplus E_n \]
\[ E, \tau \vdash (\text{spat}_1, \ldots, \text{spat}_n) \triangleright E' \]

Checking a nullary constructor requires matching the constructor’s type (which may be polymorphic) against the argument type given by the context.

\[ \tau = \theta^{(k)}[\tau'] \]
\[ E(C) = \forall \theta^{(k)}[\tau] \]
\[ E, \tau \vdash C \triangleright \emptyset \]

Simple patterns are checked in a context of the expected type and the resulting environment is the result of checking the pattern.

\[ \tau \vdash \text{spat} \triangleright E' \]
\[ E, \tau \vdash \text{spat} \triangleright E' \]

### 6.14 Simple patterns

Simple patterns are checked in the context of their expected type, which is used to define the resulting environment.

Type checking simple patterns yields an environment that binds the pattern’s variable to the context type.

\[ \tau \vdash x \triangleright \{x \mapsto \tau\} \]

For wild-card patterns, the resulting environment is empty.

\[ \tau \vdash _\_ \triangleright \emptyset \]

### 6.15 Scopes

Checking a binding extends the environment, which is then used to check the rest of the scope’s body.

\[ E \vdash \text{bind} \triangleright E' \]
\[ E' \vdash \text{scope} \triangleright \tau \]
\[ E \vdash \text{bind scope} \triangleright \tau \]
The type of a scope’s body is the type of the last expression.

\[
E \vdash exp \triangleright \tau \\
E \vdash exp \triangleright \tau
\]

## 7 Predefined identifiers

**Flang** programs can use a number of predefined types, constructors, operators, and functions. This section details the types for these.

### 7.1 Binary operators

The grammar given in Figure 2 does not include a form for infix binary operators. Instead, we treat the expression \( \text{exp}_1 \odot \text{exp}_2 \) as shorthand for \( \odot (\text{exp}_1, \text{exp}_2) \). The binary operators have the following types:

- \( || : \text{Bool}^0 \times \text{Bool}^0 \rightarrow \text{Bool}^0 \) or-else conditional operator
- \( && : \text{Bool}^0 \times \text{Bool}^0 \rightarrow \text{Bool}^0 \) and-also conditional operator
- \( == : \text{Integer}^0 \times \text{Integer}^0 \rightarrow \text{Bool}^0 \) integer equality
- \( <= : \text{Integer}^0 \times \text{Integer}^0 \rightarrow \text{Bool}^0 \) integer less-than-or-equal
- \( < : \text{Integer}^0 \times \text{Integer}^0 \rightarrow \text{Bool}^0 \) integer less-than
- \( @ : \text{String}^0 \times \text{String}^0 \rightarrow \text{String}^0 \) string concatenation
- \( + : \text{Integer}^0 \times \text{Integer}^0 \rightarrow \text{Integer}^0 \) integer addition
- \( - : \text{Integer}^0 \times \text{Integer}^0 \rightarrow \text{Integer}^0 \) integer substraction
- \( * : \text{Integer}^0 \times \text{Integer}^0 \rightarrow \text{Integer}^0 \) integer multiplication
- \( / : \text{Integer}^0 \times \text{Integer}^0 \rightarrow \text{Integer}^0 \) integer division
- \( \% : \text{Integer}^0 \times \text{Integer}^0 \rightarrow \text{Integer}^0 \) integer modulo

Note that the infix list-constructor :: has its own syntactic form for both expressions and patterns, along with special typing rules.

### 7.2 The Flang basis

A **Flang** program is checked in the context of an initial environment (also known as a basis environment) \( E_0 \) that provides predefined type constructors, data constructors, and variables. This initial environment is defined as follows:

\[
E_0 = (TVE_0, TCE_0, DCE_0, VE_0)
\]

where

\[
TVE_0 = \{\}
\]

\[
TCE_0 = \begin{cases} 
  \text{Bool} & \mapsto \text{Bool}^0 \\
  \text{Integer} & \mapsto \text{Integer}^0 \\
  \text{List} & \mapsto \text{List}^1 \\
  \text{String} & \mapsto \text{String}^0 \\
  \text{Unit} & \mapsto \text{Unit}^0 
\end{cases}
\]
\[ DCE_0 = \begin{cases} 
\text{False} & \mapsto \text{Bool}^{(0)} \\
\text{Nil} & \mapsto \forall \alpha (\text{List}^{(1)}[\alpha]) \\
\text{True} & \mapsto \text{Bool}^{(0)} \\
\text{Unit} & \mapsto \text{Unit}^{(0)} 
\end{cases} \]

\[ VE_0 = \begin{cases} 
\text{argc} & \mapsto \text{Unit}^{(0)} \rightarrow \text{Integer}^{(0)} \\
\text{arg} & \mapsto \text{Integer}^{(0)} \rightarrow \text{String}^{(0)} \\
\text{fail} & \mapsto \forall \alpha (\text{String}^{(0)} \rightarrow \alpha) \\
\text{ignore} & \mapsto \forall \alpha (\alpha \rightarrow \text{Unit}^{(0)}) \\
\text{neg} & \mapsto \text{Integer}^{(0)} \rightarrow \text{Integer}^{(0)} \\
\text{not} & \mapsto \text{Bool}^{(0)} \rightarrow \text{Bool}^{(0)} \\
\text{print} & \mapsto \text{String}^{(0)} \rightarrow \text{Unit}^{(0)} \\
\text{size} & \mapsto \text{String}^{(0)} \rightarrow \text{Integer}^{(0)} \\
\text{sub} & \mapsto \text{String}^{(0)} \times \text{Integer}^{(0)} \rightarrow \text{Integer}^{(0)} 
\end{cases} \]
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