<b>CMSC 22610</b>
Winter 2011

#### Impl. of Computer Languages I

Handout 6 Feb 14, 2011

## **Basic polymorphic typechecking**

#### 1 Introduction

This handout presents the core of the ML polymorphic type system, and two algorithms for the system.

### 2 The basic ML type system

The core ideas of the ML type system can be presented in terms of a simple extended  $\lambda$ -calculus:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} e & ::= & x & \text{variable} \\ & \mid & \lambda \, x.e & \lambda \, \text{abstraction} \\ & \mid & (e \, e') & \text{application} \\ & \mid & \mathbf{let} \, x = e \, \mathbf{in} \, e' & \text{let binding} \end{array}$$

The set of types  $(\tau \in TY)$  is defined by:

$$\begin{array}{cccc} \tau & ::= & T & & \text{type constant} \\ & | & \alpha & & \text{type variable} \\ & | & (\tau_1 \to \tau_2) & \text{function type} \end{array}$$

and the set of *type schemes* ( $\sigma \in TYSCHEME$ ) is defined by:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \sigma & ::= & \tau \\ & | & \forall \alpha. \sigma \end{array}$$

The type schema  $\sigma = \forall \alpha_1. \forall \alpha_2 \cdots \forall \alpha_n. \tau$  is abbreviated as  $\forall \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \cdots \alpha_n. \tau$ . The type variables  $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n$  are said to be *bound* in  $\sigma$ . A type variable that occurs in  $\tau$  and is not bound is said to be *free* in  $\sigma$ . We write  $FTV(\sigma)$  for the free type variables of  $\sigma$ . If  $FTV(\tau) = \emptyset$ , then  $\tau$  is said to be a *monotype*. A *type environment* is a finite map from variables to type schemes

$$VE \in TYENV = VAR \xrightarrow{fin} TYSCHEME$$

It is also useful to view a type environment as a finite set of *assumptions* about the types of variables. The set of *free type variables* of a type environment VE is defined to be

$$FTV(VE) = \bigcup_{\sigma \in rng(VE)} FTV(\sigma)$$

$$\frac{\tau \prec \mathrm{VE}(x)}{\mathrm{VE} \vdash x : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{VE} \oplus \{x \mapsto \tau'\} \vdash e : \tau}{\mathrm{VE} \vdash \lambda \, x.e : (\tau' \to \tau)}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{VE} \vdash e_1 : (\tau' \to \tau) \quad \mathrm{VE} \vdash e_2 : \tau'}{\mathrm{VE} \vdash (e_1 \, e_2) : \tau}$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{VE} \vdash e_1 : \tau' \quad \mathrm{VE} \oplus \{x \mapsto \mathrm{CLos}(\mathrm{VE}, \tau')\} \vdash e_2 : \tau}{\mathrm{VE} \vdash \mathbf{let} \, x = e_1 \, \mathbf{in} \, e_2 : \tau}$$

Figure 1: Type inference rules

The *closure*, with respect to a type environment VE, of a type  $\tau$  is defined as

$$CLos(VE, \tau) = \forall \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n . \tau$$

where 
$$\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n\} = FTV(\tau) \setminus FTV(VE)$$
.

A *substitution* is a map from type variables to types. A substitution S can be naturally extended to map types to types as follows:

$$ST = T$$

$$S\alpha = S\alpha$$

$$S(\tau_1 \to \tau_2) = (S\tau_1 \to S\tau_2)$$

Application of a substitution to a type schema respects bound variables and avoids capture. It is defined as:

$$S(\forall \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n.\tau) = \forall \beta_1, \dots, \beta_n.S(\tau[\alpha_i \mapsto \beta_i])$$

where  $\beta_i \notin \text{dom}(S) \cup FTV(\text{rng}(S))$ . Application of a substitution S to a type environment VE is defined as  $S(\text{VE}) = S \circ \text{VE}$ . A type  $\tau'$  is an *instance* of a type scheme  $\sigma = \forall \alpha_1 \cdots \alpha_n . \tau$ , written  $\tau' \prec \sigma$ , if there exists a finite substitution, S, with  $\text{dom}(S) = \{\alpha_1, \dots \alpha_n\}$  and  $\tau' = S\tau$ . If  $\tau' \prec \sigma$ , then we say that  $\sigma$  is a *generalization* of  $\tau'$ . Some examples are:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \tau & \prec & \forall \alpha.\alpha, \ \ \text{for any } \tau \in \mathrm{TY} \\ (\alpha \to \alpha) & \prec & \forall \alpha, \beta.(\alpha \to \beta) \\ (\alpha \to \mathtt{int}) & \prec & \forall \alpha, \beta.(\alpha \to \beta) \end{array}$$

The typing system is given as a set of rules from which judgements of the form "VE  $\vdash e : \tau$ " can be inferred. This judgement is read as "e has the type  $\tau$  under the set of typing assumptions VE." The rules are given in Figure 1

It is worth noting that there is exactly one typing rule for each syntactic form; thus, if we have a proof of  $VE \vdash e : \tau$ , for some e, the form of e uniquely specifies which typing rule was the last applied in the deduction. This is the formulation of [4] and differs from the system of [2], which has judgements that infer type schemas for expressions and rules for instantiating and generalizing type schemas. A proof of the equivalence of these two systems can be found in [1].

This type inference system is decidable; there exists an algorithm, called algorithm **W** [3, 2] that infers the *principal type* (i.e., most general under the relation  $\succ$ ) of an expression. Algorithm **W** is both sound and complete with respect to the inference system. See [2] or [4] for the proof details.

## 3 Algorithm W

The SML code for Algorithm W is given in Figure 2. This relies on modules to implement types,

```
fun alqW (env, e) =
    (case e
       of (L. Var x) =>
            let val sigma = E.lookup(env, x)
             in (S.id, T.freshTy sigma)
            end
        | (L.App(e1, e2)) =>
            let val (s1,t1) = algW(env, e1)
                val (s2,t2) = algW(S.applySubstToEnv(s1, env), e2)
                val beta = T.freshTyVar()
                val s3 = U.unify(S.applySubstToTy(s2, t1), T.FnTy(t2, beta))
             in (S.compose(s3, S.compose(s2, s1)), S.applySubstToTy(s3, beta))
            end
        | (L.Abs(x, e')) =>
            let val beta = T.freshTyVar()
                val (s1,t1) = algW(E.insert(env, x, T.TyScheme([], beta)), e')
             in (s1, T.FnTy(S.applySubstToTy(s1, beta), t1))
            end
        | (L.Let(x, e1, e2)) =>
            let val (s1,t1) = algW(env, e1)
                val xTy = T.closeTy(
                           E.freeVarsOfEnv(S.applySubstToEnv(s1, env)),
                val (s2,t2) = algW(E.insert(env, x, xTy), e2)
             in (S.compose(s2, s1), t2)
            end
      (* end case *))
```

Figure 2: Algorithm W

environments, substitutions, and unification. The unification algorithm, which is credited to Alan Robinson, is given in Figure 3. The unify function returns the *most general unifier*. By this, we mean that if unify  $(\tau, \tau')$  returns S, and if R unifies  $\tau$  and  $\tau'$  (i.e.,  $R(\tau) = R(\tau')$ ), then there is a substitution R', such that  $S = R' \circ R$ . The function occurs is used for what is called the "occurs check." Since recursive types are not allowed, one cannot unify a type variable with a type that contains it. The occurs check detects this situation and avoids a possible infinite loop.

# 4 A better algorithm

Algorithm **W** is not a practical algorithm. It suffers from two sources of inefficiency: the use of explicit substitutions and the need to determine the free variables in the environment when computing the type closure. Most real ML compilers use an algorithm that avoids these costs. In this algorithm, type variables are destructively updated during unification, which avoids the need for substitutions, and the  $\lambda$ -binding depth of variables is remembered as a way to detect which are  $\lambda$ -bound.

In this algorithm, type variables are represented as an updateable reference to a kind. The

```
fun occurs (v1, T.VarTy v2) = (v1 = v2)
 | occurs (v, T.BaseTy _) = false
 | occurs (v, T.FnTy(ty1, ty2)) = occurs(v, ty1) orelse occurs(v, ty2)
fun unify (T.VarTy v1, ty2 as (T.VarTy v2)) =
     if (v1 = v2) then S.id else S.singleton(v1, ty2)
  | unify (T.VarTy v1, ty2) =
     if (occurs(v1, ty2)) then raise Unify else S.singleton(v1, ty2)
  | unify (ty1, T.VarTy v2) =
     if (occurs(v2, ty1)) then raise Unify else S.singleton(v2, ty1)
  | unify (T.BaseTy a, T.BaseTy b) =
     if (a = b) then S.id else raise Unify
  unify (T.FnTy(ty1, ty1'), T.FnTy(ty2, ty2')) =
     let val s1 = unify (ty1, ty2)
         val s2 = unify (S.applySubstToTy(s1, ty1'), S.applySubstToTy(s1, ty2'))
      in S.compose(s2, s1)
     end
  | unify _ = raise Unify
```

Figure 3: Robinson's unification algorithm

reference cell also serves as a unique identifier for the type variable (see Figure 4). The kind of a type variable starts out as UNIV, and is changed to INSTANCE, when the variable is unified to a type. The integer argument to UNIV is the  $\lambda$ -binding depth of the variable. The destructive unification algorithm is given in Figure 5. Note that when an INSTANCE type variable is encountered, the instance is followed. Also note that when a type variable is unified with a type, the depth of the type is adjusted to the minimum depth; this corresponds to applying the substitution to the variables in the type environment in algorithm W.

The typechecking algorithm is given in Figure 6. Like Algorithm **W**, it recursively walks the term being checked, but it takes an extra *depth* argument and does not return a substitution. The pruneTy function is used to prune instantiated type variables.

#### References

- [1] D. Clément, J. Despeyroux, T. Despeyroux, and G. Kahn. A simple applicative language: Mini-ML. In *Proc. of 1986 ACM Conference on Lisp and Functional Programming*, pages 13–27, August 1986.
- [2] Luis Damas and Robin Milner. Principle type-schemes for functional programs. In *Proc. of 9th ACM Symposium on Principles of Programming Languages*, pages 207–212, January 1982.
- [3] Robin Milner. A theory of type polymorphism in programming. *Journal of Computer and System Scieces*, 17:348–375, August 1978.
- [4] Mads Tofte. *Operational Semantics and Polymorphic Type Inference*. PhD thesis, Department of Computer Science, Univ. of Edinburgh, May 1988. Available as Technical Report CST-52-88.

Figure 4: Representation of types

```
fun unify (T.VarTy v1, T.VarTy v2) =
      if (v1 = v2) then () else unifyVars(v1, v2)
  | unify (T.VarTy v1, ty2) = unifyVarWithTy(v1, ty2)
  | unify (ty1, T.VarTy v2) = unifyVarWithTy(v2, ty1)
  | unify (T.BaseTy a, T.BaseTy b) =
      if (a = b) then () else raise Unify
  | unify (T.FnTy(ty1, ty1'), T.FnTy(ty2, ty2')) = (
      unify(ty1, ty2);
      unify(ty1', ty2'))
  | unify _ = raise Unify
and unifyVars (v1 as ref(k1), v2 as ref(k2)) =
   (case (k1, k2)
      of (T.INSTANCE ty1, _) => unifyVarWithTy(v2, ty1)
       | (_, T.INSTANCE ty2) => unifyVarWithTy(v1, ty2)
       | (T.UNIV d1, T.UNIV d2) \Rightarrow if (d1 < d2)
            then v2 := T.INSTANCE(T.VarTy v1)
            else v1 := T.INSTANCE(T.VarTy v2)
      (* end case *))
and unifyVarWithTy (v as ref(kind), ty) =
     (case kind
       of (T.INSTANCE ty') => unify (ty', ty)
        | (T.UNIV d1) =>
          if (occursIn(v, ty)) then raise Unify
          else let val d2 = T.minDepth ty
                in if (d1 < d2) then T.adjustDepth(ty, d1) else ();</pre>
                   kind := T.INSTANCE ty
               end
      (* end case *))
```

Figure 5: Destructive unification

```
fun check (env, depth, e) =
    (case e
       of (L.Var x) => T.freshTy(E.lookup(env, x))
        | (L.App(e1, e2)) =>
          let val ty1 = check (env, depth, e1)
              val ty2 = check (env, depth, e2)
              val beta = T.freshTyVar depth
           in U.unify (ty1, T.FnTy(ty2, beta));
              T.pruneTy beta
          end
        | (L.Abs(x, e')) =>
          let val beta = T.freshTyVar (depth+1)
              val env' = E.insert(env, x, T.TyScheme([], beta))
              val ty = check (env', depth+1, e')
           in T.FnTy(T.pruneTy beta, ty)
          end
        | (L.Let(x, e1, e2)) =>
          let val ty1 = check (env, depth, e1)
              val xTy = T.closeTy(depth, ty1)
           in check (E.insert(env, x, xTy), depth, e2)
          end
      (* end case *))
fun typecheck (env, e) = T.pruneTy(check(env, 0, e))
```

Figure 6: Typechecking with destructive unification