CS281 Spring 2010: Homework 2 Due Wednesday, April 21st – in class

- 1. Exercise 9.1.3: Here are two definitions of languages that are similar to the definition of L_d , yet different from that language. For each, show that the language is not accepted by a Turing machine, using a diagonalization-type argument. Note that you cannot develop an argument based on the diagonal itself, but must find another infinite sequence of points in the matrix suggested by Fig. 9.1. Note from TA: if you do not have access to Hopcroft, e-mail me and I will send you a scan of the page in question.
 - a) The set of all w_i such that w_i is not accepted by M_{2i} .
 - b) The set of all w_i such that w_{2i} is not accepted by M_i .
- 2. **Exercise 9.2.4:** Let L_1, L_2, \ldots, L_k be a collection of languages over alphabet Σ such that:
 - 1. For all $i \neq j$, $L_i \cap L_j = \emptyset$; i.e. no string is in two of the lnaguages.
 - 2. $L_1 \cup L_2 \cup \ldots \cup L_k = \Sigma^*$; i.e., every string is in one of the languages.
 - 3. Each of the languages L_i , for i = 1, 2, ..., k is recursively enumerable.

Prove that each of the languages is therefore recursive.

- 3. Exercise 9.2.6 (a) (b): We have not discussed closure properties of the recursive languages or the RE languages, other than our discussion of complementation in Section 9.2.2. Tell whether the recursive languages and/or the RE languages are closed under the following operations. You may give informal, but clear, constructions to show closure.
 - a) Union.
 - b) Intersection.
- 4. Exercise 9.3.7: Show that the following problems are not recursively enumerable:
 - a) The set of pairs (M, w) such that TM M, started with input w, does not halt.
 - b) The set of pairs (M_1, M_2) such that $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2) = \emptyset$.
 - c) The set of triples (M_1, M_2, M_3) such that $L(M_1) = L(M_2)L(M_3)$; i.e. the language of the first is the concatenation¹ of the languages of the other two TM's.

¹Recall that the concatenation of two languages consists of the set of all concatenations of strings from those languages. Namely, $L_1L_2 = \{xy | x \in L_1, y \in L_2\}$ and xy is understood to be just x if y is empty, just y if x is empty, and $a_1a_2 \cdots a_rb_1b_2 \cdots b_s$ when x and y are the strings composed of the symbols $a_1a_2 \cdots a_r$ and $b_1b_2 \cdots b_s$ respectively.