

Lecture 4: April 04, 2005

Lecturer: László Babai

Scribe: Raghav Kulkarni

TA SCHEDULE: TA sessions are held in Ryerson-255, Tuesday and Thursday 5:30–6:30pm.

INSTRUCTOR'S EMAIL: laci(AT)cs(dot)uchicago(dot)edu

TA's EMAIL: hari(AT)cs(dot)uchicago(dot)edu, raghav(AT)cs(dot)uchicago(dot)edu

Extremal Graph Theory

Question: What is the maximum number of edges of a graph with n vertices without K_3 ?

Notation: Let $\text{ex}(n, K_3)$ denote the maximum number of edges of a graph with n vertices without K_3 . In general, let $\text{ex}(n, U)$ denote the maximum number of edges of a graph with n vertices without having a subgraph isomorphic to U . An *extremal graph* is one which has the optimum number of edges under the given constraint.

A *complete bipartite graph* with parts of size a and b has $n = a + b$ vertices and $m = ab$ edges. It is denoted by $K_{a,b}$. (Cf. Graphs and Digraphs handout.)

Observations: (a) The 5-cycle demonstrates that $\text{ex}(5, K_3) \geq 5$.

(b) $K_{2,3}$ demonstrates that $\text{ex}(5, K_3) \geq 6$.

Theorem 4.1 (Mandel-Turán Theorem) (a) $\text{ex}(n, K_3) = \lfloor \frac{n^2}{4} \rfloor$ and
(b) the only extremal graph is $K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$.

Proof Idea: Induction on n in steps of 2.

Base cases: $n = 1, n = 2$.

Now suppose $n \geq 3$.

Inductive Hypothesis: Assume that the result is true with $n - 2$ in place of n .

Inductive step: Let G be a graph on n vertices. If G doesn't have any edges then we are done. Otherwise, pick an edge (u, v) . Consider $G' = G \setminus \{u, v\}$ (we delete the vertices u, v and all edges incident with them). G' has $n - 2$ vertices. Therefore, by the Inductive Hypothesis, $m' = E(G') \leq \frac{(n-2)^2}{4}$.

Since G doesn't have a triangle, u and v don't have any common neighbors. So there are at most $n - 2$ edges from $\{u, v\}$ to $V(G')$.

Therefore, $m = |E(G)| \leq 1 + (n - 2) + m'$
 $\leq 1 + (n - 2) + \frac{(n-2)^2}{4} = \frac{n^2}{4}.$

Exercise 4.2 Show that $K_{\lfloor \frac{n}{2} \rfloor, \lceil \frac{n}{2} \rceil}$ is the only extremal graph.

Exercise 4.3 Disprove: $\exists n_0, \epsilon > 0$ such that $\forall n \geq n_0$ if $G \not\supseteq K_3$, G not bipartite, then $m \leq \frac{n^2}{4}(1 - \epsilon).$

Exercise 4.4 (*) Prove: $\exists n_0, C > 0$ such that $\forall n \geq n_0$ if $G \not\supseteq K_3$, G not bipartite, then $m \leq \frac{n^2}{4} - Cn.$

Exercise 4.5 (Turán's Theorem) (a) $\text{ex}(n, K_4) = |E(K_{a,b,c})| \sim \frac{n^2}{3}$ where $a + b + c = n$ and $|\max\{a, b, c\} - \min\{a, b, c\}| \leq 1.$ (Hint: Use induction in steps of 3.)

(b) In fact, this is the only extremal graph.

(c) Generalize (a) and (b) to any number r instead of 4. State and prove Turán's Theorem for $\text{ex}(n, K_r).$

Exercise 4.6 $\frac{\text{ex}(n, C_4)}{n} \rightarrow \infty$

Theorem 4.7 (Kővári, Sós, Turán) $\text{ex}(n, C_4) < \frac{1}{2}(n^{3/2} + n).$

Exercise 4.8 (Inequality between the arithmetic and the quadratic mean) For real numbers x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n we have

$$\sqrt{\frac{x_1^2 + \dots + x_n^2}{n}} \geq \frac{x_1 + \dots + x_n}{n}. \quad (1)$$

The left-hand side is the quadratic mean, the right-side is the arithmetic mean.

Proof Idea: Consider a graph G on n vertices. Let N = number of paths of length 2 in G . Counting this quantity in two different ways and comparing the result is the key to the solution. Since G doesn't have 4-cycle, no two vertices have more than one common neighbour, each path of length 2 is uniquely determined by its endpoints. Therefore, $N \leq \binom{n}{2}.$

On the other hand, counting the paths of length 2 by their middle points, $N = \sum_{y \in V} \binom{\deg(y)}{2}.$ Since $\sum_{y \in V} \deg(y) = 2m$ ("Handshake Theorem") and by the inequality between the quadratic

and the arithmetic mean, $\sum_{y \in V} \frac{(\deg(y))^2}{n} \geq \left(\frac{\sum_{y \in V} \deg(y)}{n} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{2m}{n} \right)^2,$ we have

$$\binom{n}{2} \geq \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{(2m)^2}{n} - 2m \right). \quad (2)$$

Refer to Matoušek-Nešetřil, Section 6.3, for the exact evaluation of inequality (2). Here is how we evaluate it asymptotically. We may assume $n = o(m)$; therefore $2m = o(\frac{(2m)^2}{n})$ and the right hand side is $\sim \frac{(2m)^2}{n}$. The left hand side is $\sim n^2$. So $\frac{n^2}{2} \gtrsim \frac{(2m)^2}{n}$; therefore $m^2 \lesssim \frac{n^3}{4}$ and $m \lesssim \frac{n^{3/2}}{2}$. \square