## Homework assignment

CMSC 15300

Due: May 28, 2004

Recall the definition of substitution for the  $\lambda$ -calculus:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} x[x\mapsto e'] &=& e'\\ y[x\mapsto e'] &=& y & x\neq y\\ (e_1\ e_2)[x\mapsto e'] &=& e_1[x\mapsto e']\ e_2[x\mapsto e']\\ (\lambda x.e'')[x\mapsto e'] &=& \lambda x.e''\\ (\lambda y.e'')[x\mapsto e'] &=& \lambda y.(e''[x\mapsto e']) & x\neq y \text{ and } y\not\in \mathrm{FV}(e')\\ (\lambda y.e'')[x\mapsto e'] &=& \lambda z.(e''[y\mapsto z][x\mapsto e']) & x\neq y, y\in \mathrm{FV}(e'), \text{ and } z \text{ is fresh} \end{array}$$

The proof of the substitution lemma for the simply-typed  $\lambda$ -calculus presented in class is by induction on the definition of substitution. Define a well-founded partial ordering  $\prec$  on  $\lambda$  terms  $\Lambda$ , such that  $\Lambda$  is well-founded under this ordering and that this ordering makes the proof of substitution a well-founded induction.

Hint: you will need to prove a lemma that  $e \not\prec e[x \mapsto y]$ , for any term e and variables x and y.