Lesson 4
Typed Arithmetic
Typed Lambda Calculus

1/28/03
Chapters 8, 9, 10

Outline

• Types for Arithmetic
  - types
  - the typing relation
  - safety = progress + preservation

• The simply typed lambda calculus
  - Function types
  - the typing relation
  - Curry-Howard correspondence
  - Erasure: Curry-style vs Church-style

• Implementation
Terms for arithmetic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Terms</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( t :: = true )</td>
<td>( v :: = true )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( false )</td>
<td>( false )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( if \ t \ then \ t \ else \ t )</td>
<td>( nv )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( 0 )</td>
<td>( nv ::= 0 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( succ \ t )</td>
<td>( succ \ nv )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( pred \ t )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( iszero \ t )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Boolean and Nat terms

Some terms represent booleans, some represent natural numbers.

\( t :: = true \)

\( false \)

\( if \ t \ then \ t \ else \ t \)

\( 0 \)

\( succ \ t \)

\( pred \ t \)

\( iszero \ t \)
**Nonsense terms**

Some terms don't make sense. They represent neither booleans nor natural numbers.

\[\text{succ } \text{true} \]
\[\text{iszero } \text{false} \]
\[\text{if succ}(0) \text{ then true else false} \]

These terms are stuck -- no evaluation rules apply, but they are not values.

But what about the following?

\[\text{if iszero}(0) \text{ then true else 0}\]

---

**Space of terms**

- **Terms**
  - \(\text{if true then 0 else succ}(0)\)
  - \(\text{succ}(0)\)
  - \(\text{true}\)
  - \(\text{false}\)
  - \(\text{iszero(pred}(0))\)
  - \(\text{0}\)
  - \(\text{succ}\text{(succ}(0))\)
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**Bool and Nat values**

Terms

- if true then 0 else succ(0)
- succ(0)
- 0
- succ(succ(0))
- iszero(pred(0))

Boolean values

- true
- false

Nat values

- succ(0)
- 0

**Bool and Nat types**

Terms

- if true then 0 else succ(0)
- succ(0)
- 0
- succ(succ(0))

Evals to Nat value

- 0

Evals to Bool value

- true
- false
Evaluation preserves type

A Type System

1. type expressions: T ::= \ldots

2. typing relation: \; t : T

3. typing rules giving an inductive definition of \; t: T
Typing rules for Arithmetic: \textbf{BN (typed)}

\begin{align*}
T &::= \text{Bool} \mid \text{Nat} \quad \text{(type expressions)} \\
\text{true} &: \text{Bool} \quad \text{(T-True)} \\
\text{false} &: \text{Bool} \quad \text{(T-False)} \\
0 &: \text{Nat} \quad \text{(T-Zero)} \\
\frac{t1: \text{Nat}}{\text{succ } t1: \text{Nat}} & \quad \text{(T-Succ)} \\
\frac{t1: \text{Nat}}{\text{pred } t1: \text{Nat}} & \quad \text{(T-Pred)} \\
\frac{t1: \text{Nat}}{\text{iszero } t1: \text{Bool}} & \quad \text{(T-IsZero)} \\
\frac{t1: \text{Bool} \quad t2: T \quad t3: T}{\text{if } t1 \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3: T} & \quad \text{(T-If)}
\end{align*}

Typing relation

\textbf{Defn}: The typing relation $t: T$ for arithmetic expressions is the smallest binary relation between terms and types satisfying the given rules.

A term $t$ is typable (or well typed) if there is some $T$ such that $t : T$. 
**Inversion Lemma**

Lemma (8.2.2). [Inversion of the typing relation]
1. If true : R then R = Bool
2. If false : R then R = Bool
3. If if t1 then t2 else t3 : R then t1 : Bool and t2, t3 : R
4. If 0: R then R = Nat
5. If succ t1 : R then R = Nat and t1 : Nat
6. If pred t1 : R then R = Nat and t1 : Nat
7. If iszero t1 : R then R = Bool and t1 : Nat

---

**Typing Derivations**

A type derivation is a tree of instances of typing rules with the desired typing as the root.

![Type Derivation Tree]

The shape of the derivation tree exactly matches the shape of the term being typed.
Uniqueness of types

Theorem (8.2.4). Each term $t$ has at most one type. That is, if $t$ is typable, then its type is unique, and there is a unique derivation of its type.

Safety (or Soundness)

Safety = Progress + Preservation

Progress: A well-typed term is not stuck -- either it is a value, or it can take a step according to the evaluation rules.

Preservation: If a well-typed term makes a step of evaluation, the resulting term is also well-typed.

Preservation is also known as "subject reduction"
**Cannonical forms**

Defn: a cannonical form is a well-typed value term.

Lemma (8.3.1).
1. If v is a value of type Bool, then v is true or v is false.
2. If v is a value of type Nat, then v is a numeric value, i.e. a term in nv, where
   \[ nv ::= 0 \mid \text{succ } nv. \]

**Progress and Preservation for Arithmetic**

Theorem (8.3.2) [Progress]
If t is a well-typed term (that is, t: T for some type T), then either t is a value or else t \[\parallel\] t’ for some t’.

Theorem (8.3.3) [Preservation]
If t: T and t \[\parallel\] t’ then t’: T.

Proofs are by induction on the derivation of t: T.
Simply typed lambda calculus

To type terms of the lambda calculus, we need types for functions (lambda terms):

T₁ → T₂

A function type \( T₁ \rightarrow T₂ \) specifies the argument type \( T₁ \) and the result type \( T₂ \) of the function.

Simply typed lambda calculus

The abstract syntax of type terms is

\[
T ::= \text{base types} \\
T \rightarrow T
\]

We need base types (e.g. \( \text{Bool} \)) because otherwise we could build no type terms.

We also need terms of these base types, so we have an "applied" lambda calculus. In this case, we will take \( \text{Bool} \) as the sole base type and add corresponding Boolean terms.
Abstract syntax and values

Terms

\[ t :: = \text{true} \]
\[ \text{false} \]
\[ \text{if } t \text{ then } t \text{ else } t \]
\[ x \]
\[ [x : T . t] \]
\[ t \cdot t \]

Values

\[ v :: = \text{true} \]
\[ \text{false} \]
\[ [x : T . t] \]

Note that terms contain types! Lambda expressions are explicitly typed.

Typing rule for lambda terms

\[ [\cdot, x : T1 |- t2 : T2] \]
\[ [\cdot |- [x : T1, t2 : T1 \to T2] \]

(T-Abs)

The body of a lambda term (usually) contains free variable occurrences. We need to supply a context \([\cdot]\) that gives types for the free variables.

Defn. A typing context \([\cdot]\) is a list of free variables with their types. A variable can appear only once in a context.

\[ [\cdot ::= \emptyset | [\cdot, x : T] \]
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Typing rule for applications

\[ \frac{\Gamma |- t_1 : T_{11} \rightarrow T_{12} \quad \Gamma |- t_2 : T_{11} }{\Gamma |- t_1 \ t_2 : T_{12}} \quad (T-App) \]

The type of the argument term must agree with the argument type of the function term.

Typing rule for variables

\[ \frac{x : T \quad \Gamma }{\Gamma |- x : T} \quad (T-Var) \]

The type of a variable is taken from the supplied context.
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Inversion of typing relation

Lemma (9.3.1). [Inversion of the typing relation]
1. If □ |- x: R then x: R □
2. If □ |- □x: T₁, t₂: R then R = T₁ -> R₂ for some R₂ with □, x: T₁ |- t₂ : R₂.
3. If □ |- t₁ t₂ : R, then there is a T₁₁ such that □ |- t₁: T₁₁ -> R and □ |- t₂ : T₁₁.
4. If □ |- true : R then R = Bool
5. If □ |- false : R then R = Bool
6. If □ |- if t₁ then t₂ else t₃ : R then □ |- t₁ : Bool and □ |- t₂, t₃ : R

Uniqueness of types

Theorem (9.3.3): In a given typing context □ containing all the free variables of term t, there is at most one type T such that □ |- t: T.
**Canonical Forms (Canon.)**

Lemma (9.3.4):
1. If \( v \) is a value of type \( \text{Bool} \), then \( v \) is either true or false.
2. If \( v \) is a value of type \( T_1 \rightarrow T_2 \), then \( v = [x:] T_1 \cdot t \).

**Progress (Prog.)**

Theorem (9.3.5): Suppose \( t \) is a closed, well-typed term (so \( |- t : T \) for some \( T \)). Then either \( t \) is a value, or \( t \longrightarrow t' \) for some \( t' \).

Proof: by induction on the derivation of \( |- t : T \).

Note: if \( t \) is not closed, e.g. \( f \text{ true} \), then it may be in normal form yet not be a value.
Permutation and Weakening

Lemma (9.3.6) [Permutation]: If $\Gamma |- t : T$ and $\Gamma$ is a permutation of $\Gamma$, then $\Gamma |- t : T$.

Lemma (9.3.7) [Weakening]: If $\Gamma |- t : T$ and $x \notin \text{dom}(\Gamma)$, then for any type $S$, $\Gamma, x : S |- t : T$, with a derivation of the same depth.

Proof: by induction on the derivation of $|- t : T$.

Substitution Lemma

Lemma (9.3.8) [Preservation of types under substitutions]: If $\Gamma, x : S |- t : T$ and $\Gamma |- s : S$, then $\Gamma |- [x \Rightarrow s]t : T$.

Proof: induction of the derivation of $\Gamma, x : S |- t : T$. Replace leaf nodes for occurrences of $x$ with copies of the derivation of $\Gamma |- s : S$. 
Substitution Lemma

Lemma (9.3.8) [Preservation of types under substitutions]:
If $\emptyset, x: S |- t : T$ and $\emptyset |- s: S$, then $\emptyset |- [x \Rightarrow s]t : T$.

Proof: induction of the derivation of $\emptyset, x: S |- t : T$. Replace leaf nodes for occurrences of $x$ with copies of the derivation of $\emptyset |- s: S$.

Preservation ($\beta$)

Theorem (9.3.9) [Preservation]:
If $\emptyset |- t : T$ and $\emptyset |- t'$, then $\emptyset |- t' : T$.

Proof: induction of the derivation of $\emptyset |- t : T$, similar to the proof for typed arithmetic, but requiring the Substitution Lemma for the beta redex case.

Homework: write a detailed proof of Thm 9.3.9.
### Introduction and Elimination rules

**Introduction**

\[ \Gamma, x : T1 |- t2 : T2 \]

\[ \Gamma |- \Gamma[x : T1] t2 : T1 \rightarrow T2 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(T-Abs)}

**Elimination**

\[ \Gamma |- t1 : T11 \rightarrow T12 \]

\[ \Gamma |- t2 : T11 \]

\[ \Gamma |- t1 \; t2 : T12 \]  \hspace{1cm} \text{(T-App)}

Typing rules often come in intro-elim pairs like this. Sometimes there are multiple intro or elim rules for a construct.

---

### Erasure

**Defn:** The erasure of a simply typed term is defined by:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{erase}(x) &= x \\
\text{erase}(\lambda x : T. t) &= \lambda x. \text{erase}(t) \\
\text{erase}(t1 \; t2) &= (\text{erase}(t1))(\text{erase}(t2))
\end{align*}
\]

erase maps a simply typed term in \( \boxed{} \) to the corresponding untyped term in \( \boxed{} \).

\[
\text{erase}(\lambda x : \text{Bool}. \; \lambda y : \text{Bool} \rightarrow \text{Bool}. \; y \; x) = \lambda x. \; \lambda y. \; y \; x
\]
Erasure commutes with evaluation

Theorem (9.5.2)
1. if $t \in \mathcal{L}$ then $\text{erase}(t) \in \mathcal{L}$.
2. if $\text{erase}(t) \in \mathcal{L}$ then there exists $t'$ such that $t \in \mathcal{L}$ and $\text{erase}(t') = m$. 

Curry style and Church style

Curry:
- define evaluation for untyped terms, then define
- the well-typed subset of terms and show that they don't
- exhibit bad "run-time" behaviors.
- Erase and then evaluate.

Church:
- define the set of well-typed terms and give evaluation
- rules only for such well-typed terms.
Homework

Modify the simplebool program to add arithmetic terms and a second primitive type Nat.

1. Add Nat, 0, succ, pred, iszero tokens to lexer and parser.
2. Extend the definition of terms in the parser with arithmetic forms (see tyarith)
3. Add type and term constructors to abstract syntax in syntax.sml, and modify print functions accordingly.
4. Modify the eval and typeof functions in core.sml to handle arithmetic expressions.

Optional homework

Can you define the arithmetic plus operation in $\mathbb{N}$ (BN)?
Sample

some text

Rules

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{prem1} \\
\hline
\text{prem2} \\
\hline
\text{concl}
\end{array}
\]

(Label)

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{prem1} \\
\hline
\text{concl}
\end{array}
\]

(Label)

axiom

(Label)
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Symbols

\[\begin{align*}
\text{true} & \quad \text{false} \\
n & \quad \text{succ} \\
\text{iszero} & \quad \implies (\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow) \\
\emptyset & \quad \exists (\rightarrow \rightarrow \rightarrow) \\
\end{align*}\]

Space of terms