

Lesson 1

Untyped Arithmetic Expressions

1/9/2002

Topics

- abstract syntax
- inductive definitions and proofs
- evaluation
- modeling runtime errors

An abstract syntax

```
t ::=
  true
  false
  if t then t else t
  0
  succ t
  pred t
  iszero t
```

Terms defined by a BNF style grammar.

Not worried about ambiguity.

t is a syntactic metavariable

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

3

example terms

```
true
0
succ 0
if false then 0
  else pred(if true then succ 0 else 0)
iszero true
if 0 then true else pred 0
```

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

4

Inductive defn of terms

Defn: The set of terms is the smallest set T such that

1. $\{\text{true}, \text{false}, 0\} \subseteq T$
2. if $t_1 \in T$, then $\{\text{succ } t_1, \text{pred } t_1, \text{iszero } t_1\} \subseteq T$
3. if $t_1, t_2, t_3 \in T$, then $\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \in T$

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

5

Terms defined using inference rules

Defn: The set of terms is defined by the following rules:

$$\text{true} \in T \quad \text{false} \in T \quad 0 \in T$$

$$\frac{t \in T}{\text{succ } t \in T} \quad \frac{t \in T}{\text{pred } t \in T} \quad \frac{t \in T}{\text{iszero } t \in T}$$

$$\frac{t_1 \in T \quad t_2 \in T \quad t_3 \in T}{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \in T}$$

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

6

Definition by induction, concretely

Defn: For each i , define S_i as follows

$$S(0) = \emptyset$$

$$S(i+1) = \{\text{true}, \text{false}, 0\}$$

$$\cup \{\text{succ } t, \text{pred } t, \text{iszero } t \mid t \in S(i)\}$$

$$\cup \{\text{if } t_1 \text{ then } t_2 \text{ else } t_3 \mid t_1, t_2, t_3 \in S(i)\}$$

Then let

$$S = \bigcup \{S(i) \mid i \in \text{Nat}\}$$

Proposition: $S = T$

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

7

Defining functions inductively

Constants appearing in a term

`consts(true) = {true}`

`consts(false) = {false}`

`consts(0) = {0}`

`consts(succ t) = consts(t)`

`consts(pred t) = consts(t)`

`consts(iszero t) = consts(t)`

`consts(if t1 then t2 else t3) =`

`consts(t1)  $\cup$  consts(t2)  $\cup$  consts(t3)`

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

8

Defining functions inductively

Size of a term:

```
size(true) = 1
size(false) = 1
size(0) = 1
size(succ t) = size(t) + 1
size(pred t) = size(t) + 1
size(iszero t) = size(t) + 1
size(if t1 then t2 else t3) =
    size(t1) + size(t2) + size(t3) + 1
```

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

9

Defining functions inductively

Depth of a term

```
depth(true) = 1
depth(false) = 1
depth(0) = 1
depth(succ t) = depth(t) + 1
depth(pred t) = depth(t) + 1
depth(iszero t) = depth(t) + 1
depth(if t1 then t2 else t3) =
    max(depth(t1), depth(t2), depth(t3)) + 1
```

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

10

Proof by induction (on depth)

If, for each term s ,
given $P(r)$ for all terms with
 $\text{depth}(r) < \text{depth}(s)$,
we can show $P(s)$
then $P(s)$ holds for all terms.

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

11

Proof by induction (on size)

If, for each term s ,
given $P(r)$ for all terms with
 $\text{size}(r) < \text{size}(s)$,
we can show $P(s)$
then $P(s)$ holds for all terms.

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

12

Proof by induction (on depth)

If, for each term s ,
given $P(r)$ for all immediate
subterms of S ,
we can show $P(s)$
then $P(s)$ holds for all terms.

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

13

Operational semantics

An abstract machine for with instructions
on how to evaluate terms of the language.

In simple cases, the terms of the language can
be interpreted as the instructions.

The values (results) can also be taken to
be (simple) terms in the language.

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

14

Evaluation: booleans

Terms

```
t ::= true
    | false
    | if t then t else t
```

Values

```
v ::= true
    | false
```

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

15

Evaluation (reduction) relation

An **evaluation relation** is a binary relation

$$t \rightarrow t'$$

on terms representing *one step* of evaluation.

This is known as a **small-step** or **one-step** evaluation relation.

A **normal form** is a term which is fully evaluated, i.e. for which no further evaluation is possible.

Thus, t is a normal form term if there is no term t' such that $t \rightarrow t'$.

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

16

Evaluation rules for boolean terms

The evaluation relation $t \rightarrow t'$ is the least relation satisfying the following rules.

`if true then t2 else t3  $\rightarrow$  t2`

`if false then t2 else t3  $\rightarrow$  t3`

$$\frac{t1 \rightarrow t1'}{\text{if } t1 \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3 \rightarrow \text{if } t1' \text{ then } t2 \text{ else } t3}$$

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

17

Evaluation strategy

Evaluation rules can determine an evaluation strategy that limits where evaluation takes place.

Example:

`if true then (if false then false else true) else true`
 $\rightarrow$ `if false then false else true`

But not

`if true then (if false then false else true) else true`
 $\rightarrow$ `if true then true else true`

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

18

Determinacy

Evaluation of boolean terms is **deterministic**. That is if $t \rightarrow t'$ and $t \rightarrow t''$, then $t' = t''$.

Proof by induction on **derivations** of $t \rightarrow t'$.

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

19

Values and normal forms

Every value is a normal form (is *in* normal form).

For booleans, every normal form is a value.

But generally, not all normal forms are values.

E.g. `pred(true)`

Such non-value normal forms are called **stuck**.

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

20

Multistep evaluation

Defn: Let $\rightarrow^*$ be the reflexive, transitive closure of $\rightarrow$. I.e $\rightarrow^*$ is the least reln such that

- (1) if $t \rightarrow t'$ then $t \rightarrow^* t'$
- (2) $t \rightarrow^* t$
- (3) if $t \rightarrow^* t'$ and $t' \rightarrow^* t''$ then $t \rightarrow^* t''$

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

21

Boolean normal forms

Uniqueness of normal forms

Theorem: If $t \rightarrow^* u$ and $t \rightarrow^* u'$ where u and u' are normal forms, then $u = u'$.

Proof: determinacy of $\rightarrow$

Existence of normal forms

Theorem: For any term t , there is a normal form u such that $t \rightarrow^* u$.

Proof: If $t \rightarrow t'$, then t' is smaller than t , i.e. $\text{size}(t') < \text{size}(t)$.

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

22

Evaluation for arithmetic

Terms

$t ::= \dots \mid 0 \mid \text{succ } t \mid \text{pred } t \mid \text{iszero } t$

Values

$v ::= \dots \mid nv$

$nv ::= 0 \mid \text{succ } nv$

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

23

Base computation rules

$\text{pred } 0 \rightarrow 0$ E-PredZero

$\text{pred } (\text{succ } nv) \rightarrow nv$ E-PredSucc

$\text{iszero } 0 \rightarrow \text{true}$ E-IszeroZero

$\text{iszero } (\text{succ } nv) \rightarrow \text{false}$ E-IszeroSucc

Note that the E-PredSucc and E-IsZeroSucc rules are restricted to the case where the argument is a value (call-by-value).

1/9/2002

Lesson 1: Untyped Arithmetic

24

Congruence rules

$$\frac{t \rightarrow t'}{\text{succ } t \rightarrow \text{succ } t'}$$

E-Succ

$$\frac{t \rightarrow t'}{\text{pred } t \rightarrow \text{pred } t'}$$

E-Pred

$$\frac{t \rightarrow t'}{\text{iszero } t \rightarrow \text{iszero } t'}$$

E-Iszero

Homework 1

- Do exercises 3.5.13 and 3.5.14.

Stuck terms and runtime errors

Stuck terms

Defn: a closed term is **stuck** if it is a normal form but is not a value.

Examples:

`pred true`

`if succ(0) then true else false`

We can take stuck terms as representing **runtime errors**.