

Lesson 9 Recursive Types

2/19, 21
Chapters 20, 21

Recursive type

- Recursive type terms are infinite, but regular
- For finite terms, use induction based on least fixed points
- For infinite terms/trees, we have to use coinduction, based on greatest fixed points.
- For least fixed points, recursively explore all subterms
- For greatest fixed points, recursively explore the support set (and hope that it is finite)

Greatest fixed point algorithm

How to check for membership in the gfp (of an invertible generating function F)?

$\text{gfp}(X) = \text{if support}(X) \uparrow \text{ then false}$
 $\quad \text{else if support}(X) \sqsubseteq X \text{ then true}$
 $\quad \text{else gfp}(\text{support}(X) \sqsubseteq X)$

Correctness:

Thm: 1. If $\text{gfp}(X) = \text{true}$ then $X \sqsubseteq F$
 2. If $\text{gfp}(X) = \text{false}$ then $X \not\sqsubseteq F$

Proof: induction on recursive computation of $\text{gfp}(X)$

Gfp algorithm: termination

$\text{pred}(x) = \emptyset \text{ if support}(x) \uparrow$
 $\quad = \text{support}(X) \text{ if support}(X) \sqsubseteq x$

$\text{pred}(X) = \bigcup_{x \sqsubseteq X} \text{pred}(x)$

$\text{reachable}(X) = \bigcup_{n \geq 0} \text{pred}^n(x)$

$\text{reachable}(x) = \text{reachable}(\{x\})$

F is *finite state* if $\text{reachable}(x)$ is finite for each x

Thm: If $\text{reachable}(X)$ is finite, then $\text{gfp}(X)$ is defined.
 If F is finite state, $\text{gfp}(X)$ terminates for any finite X .

More efficient gfp: gfp^a

Avoid repeated addition of elements by keeping track of all elements examined before in a new argument A .

$$\text{gfp}^a(A, X) = \begin{array}{l} \text{if support}(X) \uparrow \text{ then false} \\ \text{else if } X = \emptyset \text{ then true} \\ \text{else } \text{gfp}^a(A \sqcup X, \text{support}(X) \setminus (A \sqcup X)) \end{array}$$

This version considers only *new* elements added by support function.

$x \sqsubseteq \perp \text{ iff } \text{gfp}^a(\emptyset, \{x\})$

More efficient gfp: gfp^+

Threaded version of gfp, adding one element at a time and producing visited set as the result (assume $\text{support}(x)$ finite):

$$\text{gfp}^+(A, x) = \begin{array}{l} \text{if } x \sqsubseteq A \text{ then } A \\ \text{else if support}(\{x\}) \uparrow \text{ then fail} \\ \text{else fold } \text{gfp}^+(A \sqcup \{x\}) (\text{support}(x)) \end{array}$$

where *fold* is a function like list fold but operating on sets:

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{fold } f \ X \ \emptyset = X \\ \text{fold } f \ X \ \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\} = \text{fold } f \ (f(X, y_1)) \ \{y_2, \dots, y_n\} \end{array}$$

Correctness: $x \sqsubseteq \perp \text{ iff } \text{gfp}^+(\emptyset, x) \sqsubseteq$

Regular Trees

Def: $S \sqsubseteq \mathcal{T}$ is a **subtree** of $T \sqsubseteq \mathcal{T}$ if $S = \square \sqcup T(\square, \square)$ for some path $\square \sqsubseteq \text{dom}(T)$. $\text{subtrees}(T)$ is the set of subtrees of T .

Def: $T \sqsubseteq \mathcal{T}$ is **regular** if $\text{subtrees}(T)$ is finite. \mathcal{T}_r is the set of regular trees.

Subtype relation

The subtyping relation on \mathcal{T} is defined as the greatest fixed point of the relation generator function

$$\begin{aligned} S(R) = & \{(T, \text{Top}) \mid T \sqsubseteq \mathcal{T}\} \\ & \sqcup \{(S1 \sqsubseteq S2, T1 \sqsubseteq T2) \mid (S1, T1), (S2, T2) \sqsubseteq R\} \\ & \sqcup \{(S1 \sqsubseteq S2, T1 \sqsubseteq T2) \mid (T1, S1), (S2, T2) \sqsubseteq R\} \end{aligned}$$

S_r is the restriction of S to \mathcal{T}_r (regular trees).

Prop: S_r is finite state.

λ -Types

Def: $\mathcal{T}'_{m\text{-raw}}$, the set of raw λ -types, is defined by the grammar:

$$T ::= X \mid \text{Top} \mid T \rightarrow T \mid T \times T \mid \lambda X. T$$

This contains useless terms like $\lambda X. X$ that we should exclude.

Def: $T \in \mathcal{T}'_{m\text{-raw}}$ is **contractive** if for any subtree of T of the form $\lambda X. \lambda X_1. \dots \lambda X_n. S$, S is not X . (I.e. there is always an occurrence of \rightarrow or \times between a binder λX and an applied occurrence of X . \mathcal{T}'_m denotes the set of contractive λ -types.

Can define a function *treeof*: $\mathcal{T}'_m \rightarrow \mathcal{T}'$ mapping λ -types to tree types.

Subtype relation for λ -Types

The generating function S_m for the subtyping relation on \mathcal{T}'_m is given by

$$\begin{aligned} S_m(R) = & \{(S, \text{Top}) \mid S \in \mathcal{T}'_m\} \\ & \cup \{(S_1 \rightarrow S_2, T_1 \rightarrow T_2) \mid (S_1, T_1), (S_2, T_2) \in R\} \\ & \cup \{(S_1 \times S_2, T_1 \times T_2) \mid (T_1, S_1), (S_2, T_2) \in R\} \\ & \cup \{(S, \lambda X. T) \mid (S, [\lambda X \rightarrow \lambda X. T]T) \in R\} \\ & \cup \{(\lambda X. S, T) \mid ([\lambda X \rightarrow \lambda X. S]S, T) \in R, T \neq \text{Top}, \\ & \quad T \neq \lambda Y. T_1\} \end{aligned}$$

Subtype relation for λ -Types

S_m is invertible with support function

```

support(S,T)
=  $\emptyset$  if  $T = \text{Top}$ 
=  $\{(S_1, T_1), (S_2, T_2)\}$  if  $S = S_1 \sqcup S_2$  and  $T = T_1 \sqcup T_2$ 
=  $\{(T_1, S_1), (S_2, T_2)\}$  if  $S = S_1 \sqcup S_2$  and  $T = T_1 \sqcup T_2$ 
=  $\{(S, [X \rightarrow \lambda X.T_1]T_1)\}$  if  $T = \lambda X.T_1$ 
=  $\{([X \rightarrow \lambda X.S_1]S_1, T)\}$  if  $S = \lambda X.S_1$ ,  $T \neq \text{Top}$ ,  $T \neq \lambda Y.T_1$ 
=  $\uparrow$ 
    
```

Thm: $(S, T) \sqsubseteq S_m$ iff $\text{treeof}(S, T) \sqsubseteq S$

Subtyping algorithm

Specialize gfp^+ to S_m .

```

subtype (S,T)
= if  $(S, T) \sqsubseteq A$  then  $A$ 
  else let  $A_0 = A \sqcup \{(S, T)\}$  in
    if  $T = \text{Top}$  then  $A_0$ 
    else if  $S = S_1 \sqcup S_2$  and  $T = T_1 \sqcup T_2$  then
      let  $A_1 = \text{subtype}(A_0, S_1, T_1)$  in  $\text{subtype}(A_1, S_2, T_2)$ 
    else if  $S = S_1 \sqcup S_2$  and  $T = T_1 \sqcup T_2$  then
      let  $A_1 = \text{subtype}(A_0, T_1, S_1)$  in  $\text{subtype}(A_1, S_2, T_2)$ 
    else if  $T = \lambda X.T_1$  then  $\text{subtype}(A_0, S, [X \rightarrow \lambda X.T_1]T_1)$ 
    else if  $S = \lambda X.S_1$  then  $\text{subtype}(A_0, [X \rightarrow \lambda X.S_1]S_1)$ 
    else fail
    
```

Subtyping Iso-recursive types

The Amber rule:

$$\frac{\Gamma, X <: Y \vdash S <: T}{\Gamma \vdash \Gamma X.S <: \Gamma Y.T} \quad (\text{S-Amber})$$

$$\frac{X <: Y \quad \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash X <: Y} \quad (\text{S-Assumption})$$